

Our children are now targeted for experimental vaccines

29 May 2021
Claire Edwards

*A note to the kids just out of university who are employed to attack truth via so-called "fact-checking" sites established by profit-driven corporate entities:
Before retiring, Claire Edwards spent 18 years as a UN editor, which entailed checking every fact in UN parliamentary documentation and publications. This makes her a professional fact-checker, which is why she always provides full references for all her factual statements in her articles. She believes that it is vital to adhere to the truth and provide supporting evidence.*



They're now targeting the children. On 10 May 2021, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), which granted the Emergency Use Authorizations for these unlicensed non-vaccines ... *Did you just read that? UNLICENSED.* Let me say that again:

UNLICENSED NON-VACCINES ARE TO BE GIVEN TO CHILDREN

... The FDA announced that they are now [authorizing the Pfizer non-vaccine for use for children ages 12 and up](#). No longer 16 and up, but 12. The very next day, a friend in the US received a notice from her daughter's school that they will be opening up a school campus to allow the kids 12 and up to come and get their non-vaccine.

On 19 May 2021, [America's Frontline Doctors \(AFLD\) and parents launched a legal case against the US Department of Health and Human Services \(HHS\), the FDA and other agencies](#), seeking to prevent the expansion of the EUA of COVID-19 vaccines from including children under 16 years old.

[Children are already dying from these non-vaccines](#). By 13 May 2021, at least 5 deaths had been recorded among children in the US following Covid injections, including a 5-month-old baby who was not injected, but was breastfeeding from her mother who was. One 15-year-old girl, two 16-year-olds and a 17-year-old all died within days of receiving the non-vaccine, and another 17-year-old committed suicide 8 days later.

Let us be very clear about this. There are **NO DATA** on the use of these non-vaccines on children. Let me repeat that:

**THERE ARE NO DATA ON THE USE OF THESE NON-VACCINES ON CHILDREN
– NONE, NADA, ZILCH, ZERO**

This is proven by the official European Medicines Agency European Public Assessment Reports (EPARs) cited in my [English translation](#) of [this French document](#), which state:

"The safety and efficacy of the vaccines in children and adolescents under 18 years of age have not yet been established." This is the case for Moderna, Astra-Zeneca and Janssen for which "no data are available". The same is true for Pfizer "in children and adolescents under 16 years of age with limited data available".

The Doctor of Pharmacy who authored that document stated that: "People who are vaccinated as part of ongoing or future studies (such as children, pregnant women, and all populations targeted in the tables presented in the EPARs) are therefore part of research and experimental protocols." (emphasis added)

The EPARS provide concrete information that answer the following questions unequivocally:

Q: Have the clinical trials on the Covid non-vaccines been completed? A: No.

- Clinical trials have not been completed and some have not yet started
- Clinical trials are due to be completed between 2022 and 2025

Q: Are the Covid non-vaccines safe? A: We don't know.

- Side effects from vaccines historically appear over time, and are not necessarily seen in earlier clinical trials
- Long-term safety data on the four non-vaccines are missing at this stage

Q: Is it safe to mix and match the Covid non-vaccines? A: No.

- No data are available on the interchangeability of a COVID-19 vaccine from one laboratory with other COVID-19 vaccines from other laboratories to complete the vaccination schedule

Q: Is it safe for children to be given the Covid non-vaccines? A: No.

- Three of the non-vaccines are indicated for use from 18 years of age, and Pfizer's vaccine from 16 years of age [*now revised downwards*]
- No data on the safety and efficacy of the vaccines in children and adolescents under 18 are available for the Moderna, AstraZeneca and Janssen vaccines, and data on the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine are limited

Q: Is it safe for the Covid non-vaccines to be given to pregnant women? A: No.

- There are limited data on the use of the vaccine in pregnant women because pregnant or breastfeeding women were specifically excluded from the studies
- The official advice states: "Use in pregnant women should be considered only if the potential benefits outweigh the potential risks to the mother and the fetus."

The media are constantly spewing out messages telling the public that the "Covid" non-vaccines are "safe and effective". This is an outright lie for which they must be prosecuted. None of the Covid jabs can be approved because they have not concluded phase three trials. This means that they are experimental treatments that [cannot be made mandatory](#). Despite this, in April the [New Zealand government went ahead and mandated the vaccines](#) for frontline workers. [Italy made Covid jabs mandatory for all health care workers](#), including pharmacists, by decree on 31 March 2021.

In Europe, the injections have been given a conditional marketing authorization (CMA). In the US, they have been given an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA).

Both of these provisional authorizations are based on the fraud that other medical treatments do not exist. But other treatments *do* exist and have been proven to work (they include [hydroxychloroquine](#), [vitamin D3](#), [azithromycin](#), [artemisinin](#), [Ivermectin](#), [quercetin](#), [vitamin C](#), [zinc](#), [doxycycline](#) and [macrolide](#)). Thus the issuance of both the CMA and the EUA was fraudulent.

Under the EUA, the [FDA requires these Covid non-vaccines to be labelled as follows](#):

“All descriptive printed matter, advertising, and promotional material relating to the use of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID- 19 Vaccine clearly and conspicuously shall state that:

- *“This product has not been approved or licensed by FDA, but has been authorized for emergency use by FDA, under an EUA to prevent Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) for use in individuals 12 years of age and older.*

Informed consent before experimentation is required by the [Nuremberg Code](#) and instruments of international law such as:

- The [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights](#) of 1966 (art. 7: “no one shall be subjected without his free consent to medical or scientific experimentation” – absolutely no derogation from this article is permitted)
- The [Oviedo Convention](#) of 1997 (chap. II, Consent)
- The United Nations [Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and its Optional Protocol](#) of 2006 (art. 25, Health),

... as well as by internationally recognized agreements on ethical medical practice such as:

- The [Universal Declaration on the Human Genome and Human Rights](#) of 1998 (art. 5)
- The [Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights](#) of 2005 (art. 6, Consent)
- The [International Declaration on Human Genetic Data](#) of 2003 (art. 8, Consent)
- The [International Ethical Guidelines for Health-related Research Involving Humans](#) of 2016 (art. 8, Consent).

In violation of these international instruments, the [FDA guidance on the EUA](#) states that informed consent is *not* required, but that recipients should only “be informed” about the medical countermeasures (MCM) they receive under an EUA.

It should be noted that, by virtue of the hierarchy of legal norms, [instruments of international law are superior to any statutes, decrees or regulations issued as part of “pandemic” regulations](#). Even if a decree were to impose a compulsory vaccination, by the principle of the hierarchy of legal norms, the decree would be void as it would have no legal basis.

This lady in the US with 25 years’ experience in the pharmaceutical industry expresses her outrage in this [video in English with French subtitles](#). Pathologist Dr. Roger Hodkinson, an expert in infectious disease, virology and evidence-based

medicine, calls [vaccinating children](#) “[absolutely obscene. They are not at threat and you are putting them at risk](#)”. He believes that “When the history of this madness is written, reputations will be slaughtered and there will be blood in the gutter”.

And why would we be giving children these non-vaccines when the Covid survival rate for children aged 0-17 (the [official rate from the US Centers for Disease Control](#)) is 99.998%?

Let me make that clearer:

A CHILD CATCHING "COVID" HAS A 0.002% CHANCE OF DYING FROM IT

The chance of a child dying of “Covid” is virtually zero. But governments and schools and health services want to pump the children full of an **UNAPPROVED, UNLICENSED, EXPERIMENTAL VACCINE.**


So far, worldwide, there have been reports of hundreds of thousands of injuries and thousands of deaths caused by these non-vaccines. And [these reporting systems are notorious for historically capturing only around 1% of actual numbers](#), such that we may well be facing many millions of injuries in reality, and hundreds of thousands of deaths.

And bear in mind that [“A typical new drug at about five deaths, unexplained deaths, we get a black-box warning, your listeners would see it on TV, saying it may cause death. And then at about 50 deaths it’s pulled off the market.”](#) In 1976 during the Swine Flu pandemic the U.S. attempted to vaccinate 55 million Americans, but at that point the shot caused about 500 cases of paralysis and 25 deaths. *“The program was killed, at 25 deaths.”*

So why are these Covid non-vaccines not being taken off the market when, in the US, there have been [3,848 deaths and 118,902 Injuries following Covid Injections](#) ? And when, in Europe, as of 22 May 2021, there have been [12,184 deaths and 1.2 million injuries, half of them described as “serious”](#) (which includes death, hospitalisation and life-threatening injury)? In the UK, according to official statistics from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency MHRA, as of 29 April 2021 there had been [1,102 deaths and 757,564 injuries](#). If indeed these figures represent only 1% of the true total, US and UK deaths alone could amount to 500,000.

[According to UK government statistics, the total number of alleged Covid-19 deaths last year was a maximum of 48,895.](#) Compare this to UK flu deaths in 2017-2018 of 50,100. The [flu mysteriously disappeared worldwide in 2020 according to World Health Organization statistics](#) and was rebranded as Covid.

Global circulation of influenza viruses



If the true figure for deaths arising from the UK Covid non-vaccine rollout is 100,000 within the space of just five months, this compares very unfavourably with the official Covid death toll of around 49,000 for the whole of 2020. So why is it completely unprecedented for these non-vaccines to not be withdrawn? It is because this is a [fully planned and intentional genocide](#).

The Israeli People’s Committee, an independent group of Israeli citizens, deplores the fact that the Israeli government has systematically shut down all the monitoring and tracking systems for recording adverse events. They consulted the mortality statistics from the Central Bureau of Statistics for January-February 2021, which reveal that the period of [January-February 2021 had the highest overall mortality rates of the previous 10 years](#). They estimate that the number of deaths in Israel that have occurred in proximity to Covid vaccination currently stands at about 1,000 people.

A study emanating from the Faculty of Medicine Emerging Infectious and Tropical Diseases at Aix-Marseille University has revealed that, in Israel, [Pfizer's vaccine killed “about 40 times more \(elderly\) people](#) (200 of 100,000 as compared to 4.91) and “260 times more of the young” (50 of 100,000 compared to 0.19) than “what the COVID-19 virus would have claimed in the given time frame”.

Dr. Steven M. Roth, a practising emergency medicine physician for 13 years giving evidence as part of the case brought by AFLD to stop Covid vaccines being administered to children, states that [“No vaccine in history has ever caused even a fraction of the deaths that are reported to have been caused by the COVID-19 vaccines”](#).



In the Covid plandemic in 2020, first [children were traumatised by being told that they would be responsible for killing their grandparents if they went near them](#), then they were shown videos in school about the wonders of vaccines. Do you think they are likely now to jump at the chance of having the non-vaccines and going back to a normal life?


[Did you know that children can give consent to medical treatment without the doctors having to consult the parents?](#) Oh, yes. In the UK, the guidance to doctors states:

“You can provide medical treatment to a child or young person with their consent if they are competent, or with the consent of a parent or the court. You can provide emergency treatment without consent to save the life of, or prevent serious deterioration in the health of, a child or young person.”

In Toronto, Canada, a pop-up injection stand on the street [bribed children between 12 and 15 to take a Covid injection in return for a free ice cream](#). No parental consent is needed for this. This seems also to be the case in France. As this is a global agenda, you can be sure that the same measures have been put in place in advance everywhere, so check the legislation in your country.

Now add to this the [report from UK Column News this week](#) (at 1.07) that one school in the UK is declaring a new policy of "lockdown", whereby if they deem there is an emergency, they will imprison the children and the parents will have **NO CONTACT** with them whatsoever.

Whenever you see one such report, you can guarantee that this signals the next step in the global plan. This, I believe, is where they use the 60 GHz to steal the children. Note that what they say is that they will lock down the school and imprison the children and forbid them to use their mobile phones to contact their parents if, inter alia, *"there is a risk of a chemical accident or nearby air pollution"*.



ELLIS GUILFORD SCHOOL
Catholic Education Trust

At Ellis Guilford School, we take safeguarding and safety very seriously. It is important that we continue to review all our procedures, taking into account even those events that are very unlikely to occur. As part of this process, we have introduced a new procedure called "lockdown".

Dear Parent/Carer:

Enhancing safety in our school

At Ellis Guilford School, we take safeguarding and safety very seriously. It is important that we continue to review all our procedures, taking into account even those events that are very unlikely to occur. As part of this process, we have introduced a new procedure called "lockdown".

I am writing to inform you that we will be practicing this procedure on **Wednesday 20th May 2021**.

What is a lockdown and when would we carry it out?

A lockdown procedure is a standard health and safety procedure, similar to a fire drill.

Our lockdown procedure would be used when there is a threat to the safety of pupils, staff and others in the school, and when it is safer for everyone to remain in school than evacuate. The aim is to keep people safe by confining them to a secure place of safety.

Situations where our lockdown procedure may be used include:

- A potentially dangerous person or animal on the school site
- A dangerous situation in the local community that could affect the school
- A nearby chemical incident or other risk of air pollution
- An incident related to terrorism

Our procedure

Our lockdown procedure is as follows:

- Students will be alerted to a lockdown by the lockdown alert. This is a continuous alert on the school tannoy system stating that a lockdown has been initiated.
- If students are already in a lesson, then they remain in the room.
- If students are between lessons or in a breaktime, students should move directly to their next lesson.


What is a lockdown and when would we carry it out?

A lockdown procedure is a standard health and safety procedure, similar to a fire drill.

Our lockdown procedure would be used when there is a threat to the safety of pupils, staff and others in the school, and when it is safer for everyone to remain in school than evacuate. The aim is to keep people safe by confining them to a secure place of safety.

Situations where our lockdown procedure may be used include:

- A potentially dangerous person or animal on the school site
- A dangerous situation in the local community that could affect the school
- A nearby chemical incident or other risk of air pollution
- An incident related to terrorism



Instructions for parents in the event of a lockdown

- Parents will be informed if the school goes into a lockdown by text message and notification on the school website
- Parents should not attempt to call or come to the school, as this may hinder the school's effort to contact and work with the emergency services
- Parents should not discuss the event on social media, as this may spread false information and create panic
- Students will be instructed not to use mobile phones during a lockdown, so parents should not be alarmed if your child does not answer their phone

Also note that, when they experimented with 60 GHz (used for WiGig and now installed widely in UK schools) on [at least two schools in the US](#), making all the children and teachers sick, the public did not find out that the 60 GHz weapon had been used. We know that it was used because we have a witness who was there and who measured what was going on (see my article "[Our Children Are Now in Grave Danger](#)", refs. 10 & 29).

And realise that in Germany (and probably elsewhere because we know that this is a global agenda), job offers have been published for people to work in quarantine camps with children with "Covid" (see video entitled "[Alerte sur les enfants retirés de leur famille à cause du COVID](#)" (*Warning about children removed from their family because of COVID-19*) (now marked "private").

I have been warning about this since the end of 2020, when I talked about the danger at the end of [this press conference](#) (at 2.06) on the Investigative Report on the Covid-19 Pandemic and its Relationship to Sars-Cov-2 and Other Factors, which discusses the dangers of all this to the children: (the full report is downloadable in [English](#) and [French](#); see pages 127, 138-139 & 147).

Giving these vaccines to children en masse **WHEN NO DATA ARE AVAILABLE ON THEIR SAFETY OR EFFICACY FOR CHILDREN** is a crime against humanity. Parents need to be dragging these school officials before the courts.

Parents, you need to take your children out of school **NOW** and start home-schooling them in order to keep them safe. In certain countries, parents are already organising cooperative home-schooling.

And please, please, please, send notices of liability and launch court cases against all of those who are forwarding the agenda of injuring and murdering our children with these non-vaccines: school staff, school heads, nurses, doctors, and of course the staff of the health "authorities" themselves and government ministers.