

**A short primer on 5G by Joe St Clair,
"the UK's pre-eminent 5G researcher"
by Claire Edwards**

3 years ago

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WyDpFJNOX9A>

In this video, Joe St Clair discusses 5G with his friend from "Catalyzing Consciousness": <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WyDpFJNOX9A>. *Catalyzing Consciousness*. Sounds right up depopulationist Club of Rome/Budapest's Ervin Laszlo's street! Oh, and there's the man himself, posted several times on the Catalyzing Consciousness website.

St Clair is introduced as the "UK Director of the World Sustainable Development Forum". "Sustainable development", in case you didn't know, is Agenda 21. I am mystified as to why St Clair should be taking a stand against 5G, then, because 5G is the very technology that makes possible the implementation of Agenda 21, with its "smart" city prisons; 360-degree, 24h surveillance; facial recognition; social crediting; transhumanism; expiring digital currency; vaxxine passports; and other features.

Indeed, as the former right-hand-man of Ervin Laszlo, depopulationist par excellence, I can't see at all why St Clair would be objecting to 5G genocide. The Club of Rome, which Laszlo founded in Hungary along with its "spiritual" arm, Club of Budapest, was established to achieve the genocide of the world's "useless eaters" (that's you and me, by the way). So much so that they published a report in 1982 that has come to be called "Global 2000: Blueprint for Genocide ([https://www.jec.senate.gov/reports/96th%20Congress/The%20Global%202000%20Report%20\(998\).pdf](https://www.jec.senate.gov/reports/96th%20Congress/The%20Global%202000%20Report%20(998).pdf)). There's lots of jolly discussion in there about how to reduce the world population by a couple of billion. And that was way back then. The depopulationist ambitions are way less modest these days. Now it's at least 7 billion that just have to go. But heigh-ho.

St Clair is introduced as "one of the UK's pre-eminent researchers on 5G". As such, he has much to tell us about the dangers of 5G. The trouble is that it is all a bit garbled. It puts me in mind of that classic scene between Tony Hancock and Hugh Lloyd in "The Blood Donor", from 1961: Hugh Lloyd's character is terribly impressed by Hancock's erudite discourse on why we need a heart, to stop all our blood gushing around in our legs as if we were wearing Wellington boots. "Are you a doctor?", he asks admiringly. "Nah, I never really bothered", says Hancock (<https://youtu.be/niHr5jXEpNE?t=1004>). St Clair's friend interviewing him is just as impressed at St Clair's superior knowledge, gushing "Wow!" at every pronouncement.

St Clair informs us helpfully that the big connection between coronavirus and 5G is that they are both invisible. For example, if coronavirus were a yellow fog and you were out walking with friends and saw it there, you would know to avoid it. We can see a tiger jumping out of a bush but we can't see a 5G frequency beaming down upon us, no more than we can see a coronavirus. [We might have a better chance of spotting coronavirus if it existed, of course.]

Another difference between coronavirus and 5G, he goes on, is that coronavirus is so contagious that within just a few days you get a fever and start having breathing difficulties, whereas with 5G, it's very different because it takes many years before you realise you are suffering from it. It creeps up on you. That is, apart from about 5% of the

population who feel it immediately, such people being called "electrosensitives". [We can't establish if coronavirus is terribly contagious because no one has isolated it, but in my view "coronavirus" is the cover for use of the electromagnetic weapon. As for the "many years" before you realise that 5G is injuring you, St Clair is conflating earlier generations of wireless with 4G LTE and 5G. Exposure is cumulative so when we still had 3G and early 4G, it might indeed have taken many years before you suddenly found out that you had become sensitive to electromagnetic radiation and were now tortured on exposure to it, but the big difference about 4G LTE and 5G is that you are going to know very soon or possibly even instantly that it is injuring you.]

According to the UK's "pre-eminent 5G researcher", there could be a connection between 5G and Chinese people falling on their faces in the street because Wuhan had the highest saturation of 5G of any city in the world, but St Clair hastens to deny any idea that he might be suggesting a connection between the two, or at least "not in that sense". [Not sure what he means by this fudge but St Clair clearly has a horror of being deemed a tinfoil hat nutter by the fact-checkers for suggesting any connection between the two.] What he does suggest is that we know that 5G frequencies damage immune systems. 5G would therefore have an immediate [immune-suppressant] effect but it wouldn't necessarily be dramatic. [I think he means not as dramatic as falling on your face immediately. Let's face it, that is a bit dramatic. There would be that "creeping up" and going "Boo!" first. And that – according to St Clair – could take many years.]

St Clair explains that the electromagnetic frequencies that are a danger to our biological cells are there with 2G, 3G, 4G and 5G, but 5G is a different level of frequencies. [Is it? Gosh, I'm glad I understand that now.]


He's asked to define 5G. What is 5G? 5G is a range of frequencies that piggyback on 5G [sic] and we've not been subjected to them in the past except that they've been used for warfare where 5G frequencies have been used as military weapons for crowd dispersal. If you point a 5G beam at a bunch of people they will immediately disperse because they will be getting severe nausea, tinnitus, uncomfortable feelings that they just feel sick and need to get away from it. [I thought 5G was supposed to creep up on you and depress your immune system but not immediately. This scenario sounds a bit dramatic for 5G, which - according to St Clair - is supposed to take many years to do anything to you. I mean, these people being dispersed are presumably not immediately falling on their faces or anything. I also thought they dispersed because their skin was heating up to an excruciating level, but what do I know?]

St Clair opines that 5G was a military weapon long before it was used for the telecoms industry. [I've got news for you, Joe: it always was a military weapon and still is. It's got nothing to do with telecoms at all.] You don't fall flat on your face like in China when you get the flu. Electricity and electromagnetic frequencies affect the cells of your body and one particular frequency around 60 Gigahertz, if aimed at your body, breaks the bonding of oxygen.

The interviewer, St Clair's friend, says that there have been huge impacts on Milan and Lombardy in Italy, where she lives, but they have not been informed of 5G turn-ons so how do we reconcile differences in terms of the potential immune system impact? [She's a bit of a bright spark, this interviewer – seems to be spotting a bit of inconsistency in St Clair's potted analysis.] St Clair opines authoritatively that there is no clear answer. 5G masts

were set up in the area but to what extent we don't know. [It certainly is a bit of a mystery in the light of this 5G “creeping-up-on-your-immune-system” business. However, it turns out that the old people in Milan who died had been flu jabbed with metallic nanoparticles that act like antennas inside the body, reradiating incoming microwaves, and there were a high number of 5G antennas in Milan (see maps of antenna installations in Italy as at March/April 2020, showing the Milan area as having the highest number of “coronavirus” cases):]

Interaction Italy - antennas & coronavirus cases



According to St Clair, in initial 5G trials before the official turn-on, it's been found that insects fall from the sky around the mast and bacteria in the soil are dead and bees fall from the sky because their navigation systems are compromised. In these trials it becomes obvious that the level of the 5G is too high and then it's toned down. These are experiments to kind of bring it to a level where it's acceptable to the safety standards as a whole. [Wow! (That's me – I don't want to confuse you.) No one told me that the telcos had been conducting these precautionary trials and putting it about that soil bacteria were dying and birds and bees were falling out of the sky near masts. I thought that they had been vociferously denying any such reports. I also didn't know that they had conducted pre-5G-rollout trials so that they could “tone down” the levels so as to meet safety guidelines. I had no idea the telcos were so law-abiding and considerate. I had the impression that it was all about turning them up to complete the genocide. So St Clair's information here is pretty reassuring.]

St Clair is asked why there is a push to implement 5G and he pronounces it “a global situation of health versus profit”. The 5G frequencies are needed if you want to achieve

certain technical things, if you want servant robots, if you want driverless cars, if you want instant downloads, if you want speed, if you want artificial intelligence, if you want “smart” cities, “smart” motorways, “smart” anything, “smart” fridges. [The trouble is that no one does want these things except the people behind behind this genocide and Agenda 21. Oh, I forgot, that’s St Clair’s erstwhile buddies at Club of Rome/Budapest and the World Sustainable Development Forum!] He asserts that you can’t achieve that as easily with the old technologies of 3G and 4G. [He’s spot on there. It is precisely only 5G that makes the NWO technocratic prison society possible.]

St Clair goes on to assert that companies are crying out for 5G in order to achieve these sort of industrial and scientific goals, but if we didn’t have this demand we could develop other ways of achieving the same end. [Except that it’s not companies crying out for 5G at all. Many companies regarded 5G as a boondoggle and didn’t want to get involved at all. Nor was there any consumer demand for 5G. All the hype is driven by the Club of Rome criminals behind this genocide and global coup d’état and the 5G-pusher-in-chief Antonio Guterres, Secretary-General of the UN (and member of Club of Rome/Madrid) and his friends Bill and Melinda Gates and Jack Ma (<https://www.activistpost.com/2023/06/uns-vision-of-the-future-an-apex-body-and-digital-id-to-rule-us-all.html>). And I’m also at a bit of a loss as to why we would want other, alternative technologies to “achieve these sort of industrial and scientific goals”. I don’t want to achieve any goals relating to a prison society, social crediting, digital currency, etc.]

Finally we get a bit of accuracy from St Clair who tells us that we have known from the beginning of electricity - there’s been reports and studies on the health implications. If you read the small print you get with your phone you will find out that the manufacturers advise that you [should] never keep the phone on your person, that you [should] switch it off at night, that you [should] hold it at least an inch away from your ear, [should] limit your use and [should] be careful of children using it. The telecoms companies have to put these warnings into their literature or they may be subject to lawsuits. The insurance companies have done their homework and know exactly how dangerous these frequencies are so they’re not going to insure you if you get ill from EMF exposure. [Well done, Joe – all true, except that I would get rid of the cell phone altogether.]

The interviewer tells us that her mother died of glioblastoma, a type of brain cancer, and the neurologist told her that the huge increase in this type of tumour coincided directly with the increase in the use of cell phones. [Well done, interviewer, this is exactly correct but it is suppressed information. (I thought she was a bright spark.) See, for example, “Scientific evidence invalidates health assumptions underlying the FCC and ICNIRP exposure limit determinations for radiofrequency radiation: implications for 5G” at <https://ehjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12940-022-00900-9>.]

The interviewer asks if there some sort of meter you can get to read 5G frequencies. St Clair responds that he is told that the telecoms companies have such meters that can read 5G frequencies but they are not generally available. [This is correct to a point. Since we do not know what frequencies are being used for 5G, it is hard to say whether and how they can be measured. To my knowledge, most of the frequencies being used for 5G are around 3.5 to 5 GHz. (No doubt my more technical readers will correct me on this). You can readily buy an EMF meter that will measure up to 8 or 13 GHz, such as this one: Acousticom 2 for about \$200 or £200: <https://safelivingtechnologies.com/products/acousticom-2-rf-detector-ac2.htm> or

https://www.amazon.co.uk/Acousticom-Radiofrequency-Detector-Paranormal-Equipment/dp/B09MPVL1NH/ref=sr_1_5. If you want to measure frequencies higher than that, you might have to buy a spectrometer. Apparently these can be obtained for much less these days, possibly around £15,000. Everyone should own an affordable meter, though, because we might get jumped on by an invisible tiger and we'll want to know it's there.]

When it comes to suggestions as to where we can go from here, St Clair helpfully suggests that people type into Google [Why Google? I never use Google because it's one of the darkest organizations on the planet.] "dangers of 5G" or some such. Or they could pick up leaflets at talks on 5G and/or write to their MP/lawmaker. [Glad he gave us this advice or we might have been a bit stumped.]

However, St Clair's parting words are spot on: "You don't want "smart" meters, you don't want 5G, don't sleep with [cell] phones in your bedroom, turn off your Wi-Fi at night. Limit your use of cell phones, hold it an inch from your ear, and don't expose children." [Except that I would advise taking a hammer to your phone altogether, dismantling all wireless technology everywhere and taking down all antenna masts if we want us and nature to survive.]

So there we are. By the end of this discussion, we don't know much more about 5G than we knew when we started out, and some of what we have learned is distinctly unhelpful, erroneous and bizarre, but at least we know now that the coronavirus is terribly contagious, whereas 5G definitely won't have us falling on our faces because in general it is not dramatic except when it is pointed at people and gives them tinnitus which makes them run away. Well, it won't have us falling on our faces immediately, anyway, because it takes years, and not unless our immune system starts creeping up on us, or not if we avoid Chinamen in Wuhan and areas of Milan inhabited by old people, anyway. And we must watch out for yellow fog and tigers.

I feel much reassured by this valuable advice.